

Items For Discussion Today

- Complexity of Surgical Site Infections
- Impact of the SCIP Process Intervention
- SSI Prevention Guidelines What Do They Say and Are They Helpful?
- Reducing Risk through an Evidence-Based Perspective
- Choosing the Right Evidence-Based Interventions Across the Spectrum of Surgery

"It's all about the surgical wound"



Classification of Surgical Site Infections (SSI)



Recognition of the surgical locus of infection influences the development of specific interventional strategies

Mangram AJ, et al. Am J Infect Control 1999;27:97-132

The Complexity of Risk







State of the Science Review

Environment of care: Is it time to reassess microbial contamination of the operating room air as a risk factor for surgical site infection in total joint arthroplasty?



Javad Parvizi MS, MD, FRCS^a, Sue Barnes RN, CIC^b, Noam Shohat MD^a, Charles E. Edmiston Jr. MS, PhD c.

^a Sidney Kimmel School of Medicine, Rothman Institute at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA ^b Infection Control Consulting, San Matoe, CA ^c Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI



"There is a direct link between the number of particles in the OR and the number of personnel present in the case."

A More Than a Typical Scenario – What is the True Risk of Infection?

High Risk Patient:

Immunosuppressive meds - RA Diabetes Advanced age Prior surgery to same joint Psoriasis Malnourished morbid obesity sAlb<35 low sTransferrin Remote sites of infection Smokers ASA ≥3





Vol. 20 No. 4 INF	ECTION CONTROL AND HOSPITAL EPIDI	MIOLOGY 247	
GUIDELINE Alicia J. Mangram, MD; Teresa C	FOR PREVENTION OF INFECTION, 1999 Hona, MPR, CIC Methole L. Porrora, MD: Loab The Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory (SURGICAL SITE	Mitigating Risk - Surgical Care Improvement Project
	Hospital Infections Program National Center for Infections Diseases Centers for Disease Council and Preventio Public Health Service US Department of Health and Human Servi	s 25	(SCIP) – An Evidence-Based "Bundle" Approach
Hospital Infec	tion Control Practices Athisory Committee Membe	rship List, January 1999	 Timely and appropriate antimicrobial prophylaxis
CHAIRM/ Elaine L. Larson, RN, Pf Columbia University Sci New York, New	AN EX ID, FAAN, CIC Contents tool of Nursing Centers York	ECUTIVE SECRETARY Michele L. Pearson, MD or Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia	 Glycemic control in cardiac and vascular surgery
SURG	ICAL SITE INFECTION GUIDELIN James T. Lee, MD, PhD, FACS University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	E SPONSOR	Appropriate hair removal
			 Normothermia in general surgical patients
Audrey B. Adams, RN, MPH Montefiore Medical Center Bronx, New York Raymond Y. W. Chinn, MD Sharp Memorial Hospital San Diego, California Alfred DeMaria, Jr, MD Massachussetts Department of Public Health	MEABERS Susaw, W-rehena, MD New York, City Health Department New York, New York Ramon E. Moncada, MD Coronado, Taylsclam's Medical Center Coronado, California William E. Scheckler, MD University of Wisconsin Medical School	Jane D. Siegel, MD University of Texas Southwestern Medical Context Southwestern Dallas, Texas Marjorie A. Underwood, RN, BSN, CIC ML. Diablo Medical Center Concord, California Robert A. Weinstein, MD Cook Comty Hospital	Is this the Holy Grail?

An Increase in Compliance With the Surgical Care Improvement Project Measures Does Not Prevent Surgical Site Infection in Colorectal Surgery



Image: State State

Mosby



The effect of Surgical Care Improvement Project measures on national trends on surgical site infections in open vascular procedures

Anahita Dua, MD, MS, MRA,⁴ Sapan S. Desai, MD, PhD, MRA,^b Gary R. Seabrook, MD,³ Kellie R. Brown, MD,² Brian D. Lewis, MD,³ Peter J. Rossi, MD,⁴ Charles E. Edmiston, PhD,⁴ and Cheong J. Lee, MD,³ Milmankee, War; and Springfield, III

Objertive: The Surgical Care Improvement Project (SCIP) is a national initiative to reduce surgical complications, including postoperative surgical site infection (SSI), through postcod-direct antibiotic usage. This study aimed to determine the effect SCIP guidelines have had on in-hospital SSIs after open vascular procedures. Method: The Nationxie Inputient Sample (NIS) was retrospectively analyzed using International Classification of Discesses, Ninth Revision, diagnosis odes to capture SSIs in hospital patients who underware textive carotid endanterectomy, elective open repair of an abdominal aortic anearysm (AAA), and peripheral bypass. The pre-SCIP era was defined as 2000 to 2005 and post-SCIP was defined as 2007 to 2010. The year 2006 was enduded because this was the transition year in which the SCIP guidelines were implemented. Analysis of variance and γ^2 besting were used for statistical analysis. Rendit: The rate of SSI in the pre-SCIP era was 2.2% compared with 2.3% for carotid endanterectomy (P = .06). For peripheral bypass, North in the pre- and post-SCIP era, infection rate wore 1.15 (P = 2.2). For open, elective AAA, the rate of infection in the post-SCIP era inspining the pre-SCIP era (P < .001). Demographics and in-hospital mortality did no differ significantly between the groups.

Confusione Implementation of SCIP guidelines has made no significant effect on the incidence of in-hospital SSIs in open vacular operations; rather, an increase in SSI rates in open AAA requirs was observed. Patient centered, bundled approaches to care, rather than current SCIP preactices, may further decrease SSI rates in vacular patients undergoing open procedures. (J Vacs Sarg 2014;69:1035-9.)

Do Guidelines Actually Guide Us or Do They Facilitate Controversy?



Comparative Analysis of WHO, Proposed CDC, ACS and Wisconsin SSI Prevention Guidelines

INTERVENTION	WHO Guidelines	CDC Guidelines	ACS Guidelines	WISCONSIN SSI Prevention
Normothermia	Maintain normothermia	Maintain normothermia	Maintain normothermia	Maintain normothermia - FAW reduces incidence of SSI
Wound Irrigation	No recommendation	Intraoperative irrigation recommended - povidone iodine	No recommendation	Intraoperative irrigation recommended – 0.05% CHG
Antimicrobial Prophylaxis	Short durational	Short durational	Short durational	Short durational – Follow ASHP weight-based dosing
Glycemic Control	Recommended	Recommended – No recommendation for Ha1c	Highly beneficial	Highly beneficial HA1c <u><</u> 6.7
Perioperative Oxygenation	Recommended	Administer increased FIO ₂ during surgery after extubation, immediate postop period	Recommended	Recommended – Strongest evidence in colorectal surgery
Preadmission Showers	Advised patients to bathe or shower with soap	Advise patients to bathe or shower with soap or antiseptic agent –at least night before surgery	Advise patients to bathe/shower with CHG	Two standardized shower/cleansing with 4% or 2% CHG night before/morning (surgery)
Antimicrobial Sutures	Use antimicrobial sutures independent of type of surgery	Consider use of triclosan-coated sutures for prevention of SSI	Recommended for clean and clean-contaminated abdominal procedures	The use of triclosan sutures represents 1a clinical evidence

Building a Better Evidence-Based Bundle

Antimicrobial Prophylaxis – Weight-Based Dosing

Does BMI Increase Risk?

Perioperative Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Higher BMI (>40) Patients: Do We Achieve Therapeutic Levels?

Percent Therapeutic Activity of Serum / Tissue Concentrations Compared to Surgical Isolate (2002-2004) Susceptibility to Cefazolin Following 2-gm Perioperative Dose

Organisms	n	Serum	Tissues
Staphylococcus aureus	70	68.6%	27.1%
Staphylococcus epidermidis	110	34.5%	10.9%
E. coli	85	75.3%	56.4%
Klebsiella pneumoniae	55	80%	65.4%

Edmiston et al, Surgery 2004;136:738-747

Effects of Maternal Obesity on Tissue Concentrations of Prophylactic Cefazolin **During Cesarean Delivery**

crograms/g for Gram-negative rods in adipose samples at skin incision (20% and 33.3%, respectively) or dosure (20.0% and 44.4%, respectively). No significant difference in cefazolin concentration was observed in mean closure adipose, myometrial, or serum specimens across the BMI categories.

Conclusion: Pharmacokinetic analysis suggest present antibiotic prophylaxis dosing may fail to pr adequate antimicrobial coverage in obese patients of cesarean deliverv

CLINICAL TRIAL REGISTRATION: Clinical Trials.gov, w clinical trials.gov, NCT00980486.

UVE OF UNDEXE: II Prince likely speed time in an intensive care unit and from times more likely to be readmitted to the prince likely speed time in an intensive care unit and from times likely to be readmitted to the principal speed of the speed of the speed of the probability of probperative surgical atte infections? The derived effectiveness of antimicrobial prophy-taxis must incomporate there basis principles: the speed of the speed of the speed of the speed speed of the speed of the speed of the speed probability of principles of the speed speed of the speed of the speed of the speed principles of the speed of the speed of the speed timinum inhibitory concentration of potential micro-tation of the submitmential algorithm of the speed timinum inhibitory concentration of potential micro-tation of the submitmential algorithm of the speed concentrations. Despite implementation of guidalines for surgical prophylaxis that have confirmed them.

OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY 877

Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:877–82) DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e31820b/

LEVEL OF EVIDENCE:

Leo Pevzner, MD, Morgan Swank, MD, Candace Krepel, MS, Deborah A. Wing, MD, Kenneth Chan, MD, and Charles E. Edmiston Jr, PhD

OBJECTIVE: To estimate the adequacy of antimicrobial activity of preoperative antibiotics at the time of cesarean delivery as a function of maternal obesity.

activity of propertative antibiotics at the time of cesarean delivery as a function of maternal obsensity. For exam-tion of the state of the state of the state of the state METHODS. Twenty-mine patients achieved in the state of the state of the state of the state of the state learn (BMU cestmany, which is thedp participants classified as learn (BMU cestman, bose). BMU at 00 states (BMU 30–399), and mine as extremely boses (BMU 40 methods). A galaxies and the state of the state and classes, along with momental and serum samples, were BSULIDS. Cells convertations within address the SULIDE. Cells convertations within address the SULIDE. State of the state of the

analyzed with microbiological ager diffusion seasy. RSULTS: Collador concentrations with adpose true sue obtained at kin incision were inversely proportional to maternal BMO (= -6.0, P < 6.01). The mean adposes concentration was 34 plass or minus 2.2 micrograms(g in minus 2.2 micrograms(g in the observed prop ($P \sim 6.07$, and 4.4 plass or minus 1.2 micrograms(g in the externely obserge grau (P < -0.01). Advocad all appections demo-sitated fluctaputic cellszolin levels for gram-positive cocil genetic than 1 microgram(g), a condersold por-minimal inhibitory concentrations of greater than 4 mi-

From the Department of Obstatrics and Opsenlage, University of California, Traine, Orange, California: Stergical Microbiology Remark Datomary, Department Materia, Malical Callog of Witcomin, Milmanko, Witcomin: and the Department of Obstatrics and Opsenlage, Long Back Menurial Medical Center, Long Back, California. Supported by a grant from the Memorial Medical Center Foundation, Long Beach, Galifornia.

Beich, Gelfernia. Corresponding aclasm: Leo Percser, MD, Deperiment of Obstatries and Cyn-cology, University of California, Iroin, 101 The City, Druce Sauk, Baiding SS, Sauke 100, Orange, O. 2020; e. vanit: Weinijkuriche. Franzeit Buchesner The achtern die set report ausr pisentisal coughts of interest. The achtern die set report ausr gef Obstatriciaus and Cynecologies. Published by Lippinost Williams Wilkiau.

VOL. 117, NO. 4, APRIL 2011

Copyright© American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (🗳

SMFM PAPERS

Increased 3-gram cefazolin dosing for cesarean delivery prophylaxis in obese women

Morgan L. Swank, MD; Deborah A. Wing, MD; David P. Nicolau, PharmD; Jennifer A. McNulty, MD

OBJECTIVE: The purpose of this study was to determine tissue con- increase of the cefazolin dose dampened this effect and improved the centrations of celazolin after the administration of a 3-g prophylactic probability of reaching the recommended MIC of \geq 8 µg/mL. Subjects dose for cesarean delivery in obese women (body mass index (BMI) with a BMI of 30-40 kp/m² had a median concentration of 6.5 µp/g >30 kg/m²) and to compare these data with data for historic control (interquartile range [IOR], 4.18-7.18) after receiving 2-g vs subjects who received 2-g doses. Acceptable coverage was defined 22.4 µg/g (IOR, 20.29–34.36) after receiving 3-g. Women with a as the ability to reach the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of BMI of >40 kg/m² had a median concentration of 4.7 µg/g (ICR, 8 µg/mL for cefazolin.

2-g. Three grams of parenteral cefazolin was given 30-60 minutes CONCLUSION: Higher adipose concentrations of cefazolin were before skin incision. Adipose samples were collected at both skin observed after the administration of an increased prophylactic dose. incision and closure. Cefazolin concentrations were determined This concentration-based pharmacology study supports the use of 3 g with the use of a validated high-performance liquid chromatography of cetazolin at the time of cesarean delivery in obese women. Normal 8552V

RESULTS: Twenty-eight obese women were enrolled in the current concentrations with the standard 2-g dosing. study; 29 women were enrolled in the historic cohort. BMI had a Key words: cefazolin, cesarean delivery, minimal inhibitory concenproportionally inverse relationship on antibiotic concentrations. An tration (MIC), obesity, prophylaxis

3.11-4.97) and 9.6 µg/g (QR, 7.62-15.82) after receiving 2- and summarize the summarian summaria summari summaria summaria summari summaria summaria summaria summaria su

and overweight women (BMI <30 kg/m²) reach adequate cefazolin

Cite this article as: Swank ML, Wing DA, Nicolau DP, et al. Increased 3-gram cefazolin dosing for cesarean delivery prophylaxis in obese women. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015:213:415.e1-8.

Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery

DALE W. BRATZLER, E. PATCHEN DELLINGER, KEITH M. OLSEN, TRISH M. PERL, PAUL G. AUWAERTER, MAUREEN K. BOLON, DOUGLAS N. FISH, LENA M. NAPOLITANO, ROBERT G. SAWYER, DOUGLAS SLAIN, JAMES P. STEINBERG, AND ROBERT A. WEINSTEIN

Am J Health-Syst Pharm. 2013; 70:195-283

These guidelines were developed jointly by the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP), the Infectious Diseases So-ciety of America (IDSA), the Surgi-cal Infection Society (SIS), and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA). This work rep-resents an update to the previously published ASHP Therapeutic Guidepublished ASHP Therapeutic Guide-lines on Antimicrobial Prophylaxis in Surgery,¹ as well as guidelines from IDSA and SIS.²² The guidelines are intended to provide practitioners with a standardized approach to the rational, safe, and effective use of antimicrobial agents for the preven-tion of surgical-site infections (SSIs) based on currently available clinical evidence and emerging issues. evidence and emerging issues.

Prophylaxis refers to the preven-tion of an infection and can be characterized as primary prophylaxis, secondary prophylaxis, or eradica-tion. Primary prophylaxis refers to the prevention of an initial infection. Secondary prophylaxis refers to the prevention of recurrence or reactiva-tion of a preexisting infection. Eradi-cation refers to the elimination of a colonized organism to prevent the development of an infection. These guidelines focus on primary perioperative prophylaxis.

Guidelines development and use

Members of ASHP, IDSA, SIS, and SHEA were appointed to serve on an expert panel established to ensure the validity, reliability, and utility

of the revised guidelines. The work of the panel was facilitated by fac-ulty of the University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy and University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Drug Use and Disease State Management Program who served as contract researchers and writers for the project. Panel members and contractors were required to disclose any possible con-flicts of interest before their appoint-ment and throughout the guideline development process. Drafted docu-ments for each surgical procedural section were reviewed by the expert panel and, once revised, were avail-able for public comment on the able for public comment on the ASHP website. After additional revi-sions were made to address reviewer comments, the final document was

Microbial Ecology of Skin Surface

- Scalp 6.0 Log₁₀ cfu/cm²
- Axilla 5.5 Log₁₀ cfu/cm²
- Abdomen 4.3 Log₁₀ cfu/cm²
- Forearm 4.0 Log₁₀ cfu/cm²
- Hands 4.0-6.6 Log₁₀ cfu/cm²
- Perineum 7.0-11.0 Log₁₀ cfu/cm²

Surgical Microbiology Research Laboratory 2008 – Medical College of Wisconsin

Looking at the Preadmission Shower from a Pharmacokinetic Perspective

Dose Duration Timing

Research

Original Investigation

Evidence for a Standardized Preadmission Showering Regimen to Achieve Maximal Antiseptic Skin Surface Concentrations of Chlorhexidine Gluconate, 4%, in Surgical Patients

Charles E. Edmiston Jr, PhD, Cheong J. Lee, MD; Candace J. Krepel, MS; Maureen Spencer, MEd; David Leaper, MD; Kellie R. Brown, MD; Brian D. Lewis, MD; Peter J. Rossi, MD; Michael J. Malinowski, MD; Gary R. Seabrook, MD

Hinvited Commentary

IMPORTANCE To reduce the amount of skin surface bacteria for patients undergoing elective surgery, selective health care facilities have instituted a preadmission antiseptic skin dearsing protocol using chlorhexidine gluconate. A Cachrane Collaborative review suggests that existing data do not justify preoperative skin dearsing as a strategy to reduce surgical site infection.

Edmiston et al. JAMA Surg 2015;150:1027-33

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Preadmission Application of 2% Chlorhexidine Gluconate (CHG): Enhancing Patient Compliance While Maximizing Skin Surface Concentrations

Charles E Edmiston, Jr, PhD,¹³ Candace J. Krepel, MS,¹³ Maureen P. Spencer, M.Ed.² Aharo A. Ferraz, PhD, MD,⁴ Gary R. Stabrosk, MD,² Cheong J. Lee, MD,⁵ Brian D. Lewis, MD,³ Kellie R. Brown, MD,⁴ Peter J. Rossi, MD,² Michael J. Malinowski, MD,³ Sarah E. Edmiston, M.Ed.² Edmundo M. Ferraz, PhD, MD,⁴ David J. Leaper, MD⁵

ouyzective. Surgical site infections (SSB) are responsible for significant morbidity and mortality. Preadmission skin antisepsis, while controversial, has gained acceptance as a strategy for reducing the risk of SSL in this study, we analyze the benefit of an electronic alert system for enhancing compliance to preadmission application of 2% chlorheadime gluconate (CHG).

DESIGN, SHTTING, AND PARTICIPANTS. Following informed consent, 100 healthy volunteers in an academic, tertiary care medical center were randomized to 5 dishoteking diagnosate (CHG) skin application groups 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 consecutive applications. Participants were further randomized into 2 subgroups with or without electronic dert. Skin surface concentrations of CHG (agind), were analyzed using a colorimetric any of 3 Sequente matemic sites.

INTERVENTION. Preadmission application of chlorhenidine gluconate, 2%

x s s v rs. Mean composite akin surface CHG concentrations in volunteer participants receiving EA following 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 applications were 10405, 13484, 12782, 16438, and 13403 1gg/lnL, respectively, Wohk C, PC, 2001, Composite akin surface concentrations in the no-EA group were 13405, 13444, and 13464 1gg/lnL, respectively, Wohk PA, C 2001, Composite akin surface concentrations in the no-EA group were 13405, 13444, and 13464 1gg/lnL, respectively, Wohk PA, C 2001, Composite akin surface concentrations in the no-EA group were 3340, 13444, and 13464 1gg/lnL, respectively, Wohk PA, C 2001, Composite akin surface concentrations required to inhibite the growth of 98% of organisms [MC¹⁰] Is et 1, 2, 3, 4, ard 5 applications using the 2% CHG cloth were 2014, 1264, 2555, 3288, and 2406, respectively, representing CHG with concentrations of CHG in the 4 and 5-application group IP

coscussos. The findings of this study suggest an evidence-based standardized process that includes use of an Internet-based electronic alert system to improve patient compliance while maximizing skin surface concentrations effective against MRSA and other staphylococcal surgical pathogens.

Edmiston et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016;37: 254-259

To Maximize Skin Surface Concentrations of CHG – A Standardize Process Should Include:

4% Aqueous CHG

- An SMS, text or voicemail reminder to shower
- A standardized regimen –
 instructions Oral and written
- TWO SHOWERS (CLEANSINGS) NIGHT BEFORE/MORNING OF SURGERY
- A 1-minute pause before rinsing (4% CHG)
- A total volume of 4-ozs. for each shower

CHG conc ≥1000 µg/ml

2% CHG Cloth

- An SMS, text or voicemail reminder
- Oral and written patient instructions – Cleanse gently
- TOTAL OF 3 PACKAGES PER APPLICATION INTERVAL – 3 NIGHT BEFORE AND 3 THE MORNING OF SURGERY
- Use both sides of the cloth maximize release of CHG
- CLEANSE GENTLY CHG conc ≥ 1000 µg/ml

Remember the devil is always in the details

Edmiston et al. JAMA Surg 2015;150:1027-1033 Edmiston et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016; 2016;37:254-259

Burnham et al, AAC 2016;60:7303-7312 Antimicrobial Agents AMERICAN CrossMark SOCIETY FOR SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY and Chemotherapy Topical Decolonization Does Not Eradicate the Skin Microbiota of Community-Dwelling or Hospitalized Adults ©Carey-Ann D. Burnham,^{a,b} Patrick G. Hogan,^a Meghan A. Wallace,^b Elena Deych,^{c*} William Shannon,^{c*} David K. Warren,^c Stephanie A. Fritz^a Departments of Pediatrics,^a Pathology & Immunology,^b and Medicine,^c Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA Downloaded from http://aac.asm.org Topical antimicrobials are often employed for decolonization and infection prevention and may alter the endogenous microbiota of the skin. The objective of this study was to compare the microbial communities and levels of richness and diversity in community-dwelling subjects and intensive care unit (ICU) patients before and after the use of topical decolonization protocols. We enrolled 15 adults at risk for Staphylococcus aureus infection. Community subjects (n = 8) underwent a 5-day decolonization protocol (twice daily intranasal mupirocin and daily dilute bleach-water baths), and ICU patients (n = 7) received daily chlorhexidine baths. Swab samples were collected from 5 anatomic sites immediately before and again after decolonization. A variety of culture media and incubation environments were used to recover bacteria and fungi; isolates were identified using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Overall, 174 unique organisms were recovered. Unique communities of organisms were recovered from the community-dwelling and hospitalized cohorts. In the communitydwelling cohort, microbial richness and diversity did not differ significantly between collections across time points, although the number of body sites colonized with S. aureus decreased significantly over time (P = 0.004). Within the hospitalized cohort, richness and diversity decreased over time compared to those for the enrollment sampling (from enrollment to final sampling, P = 0.01 for both richness and diversity). Topical antimicrobials reduced the burden of S. aureus while preserving other compo-

Are There Evidence-Based Studies to Validate the Use of an Antimicrobial (Triclosan) Wound Closure Technology?

nents of the skin and nasal microbiota.

Extrinsic Risk Factor: Bacterial Colonization of Implantable Devices

- Sutures are foreign bodies As such can be colonized by Gram +/- bacteria
 - · Implants provide nidus for bacterial adherence
 - Bacterial colonization can lead to biofilm formation
 - Biofilm formation enhances antimicrobial recalcitrance

As little as 100 staphylococci can initiate a device-related infection

Ward KH et al. J Med Microbiol. 1992;36: 406-413. Kathju S et al Surg infect. 2009;10:457-461 Mangram AJ et al. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.1999;27:97-134 Edmiston CE, Problems in General Surgery 1993;10: 444 Edmiston CE, J Clinical Microbiology 2013;51:417

Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcal aureus (MRSA)

Are Sutures Really a Nidus for Infection? Staphylococcus Vascular Graft Infection

Surgical Microbiology Research Laboratory, Milwaukee - 2005

Edmiston et al, J Am Coll Surg 2006;203:481-489

Antimicrobial Activity Against MDRO

Is there an evidence-based argument for embracing an antimicrobial (triclosan)-coated suture technology to reduce the risk for surgical-site infections?: A meta-analysis

Charles E. Edmiston, Jr, PhD,^a Frederic C. Daoud, MD,^b and David Leaper, MD, FACS,^c Milwaukee, WI. Paris, France, and London, UK

Background. It has been estimated that 750,000 to 1 million surgical-site infections (SSIs) occur in the Dougening, is not seen estimator in al 1-10-000 to i mutant suggetarsite inferences (SMS) occur in the United States and part causing substantial mobility and mortality. Trifosom-condet substants were developed as an adjunctive strategy for SSI risk reduction, but a recently published systematic literature review and meta-analysis suggested that no clinical benefit is associated with this technology. However, that study was hampered by foor selection of available randomized controlled trials (RCI) and low patient numbers. The current systematic review involves 13 randomized, international RCTs, totaling 3,568 surgical patients.

Methods. A systematic literature search was performed on PubMed, Embase/Medline, Cochrane database group (Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Health annexes graph (Communications of Constraints), communications of promotion reveaus, return Economic Evaluations Database/Database of Health Technology Assessments), and wuve, tinicatirials, goo to identify RCTs of triclosan-coated sutures compared with conventional sutures and assessing the clinical effectiveness of antimicrobial sutures to decrease the risk for SSIs. A fixed- and random/ffects model was developed, and pooled estimates reported as risk ratio (RR) with a corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). Publication bias was assessed by analyzing a funnel plot of individual studies

and testing the Egger regression intercept. Results. The meta-analysis (13 RCTs, 3,568 patients) found that use of triclosan antimicrobial-coated

Results. The meta-analysis (13 RCTs, 3,568 patients) found that use of tridosan antimicrobial-coated sutures was associated with a dereven is NSL in solected patient populations (fixed effect R = 0, 734; 95% CI: 0.590-0.913; P = .005; random-effect: RR = 0.693; 95% CI: 0.533-0.920; P = .011). No publication bias uses detected (Egger intercept test: P = .145). Conclusion. Derevening the risk for SNL requires a multiplicated "care bundle" approach, and this meta-analysis of current, pooled, per-reviewed, randomized controlled trials suggests a clinical effectiveness of antimicrobial-coated sutures (ritodans) in the prevention of SNL representing Center for Evidence-Based Medicine level 1a evidence. (Surgery 2013;154:89-100.)

Edmiston et al., Surgery 2013;154;89-100

Meta-analysis

Systematic review and meta-analysis of triclosan-coated sutures for the prevention of surgical-site infection

Z. X. Wang^{1,2}, C. P. Jiang^{1,2}, Y. Cao^{1,2} and Y. T. Ding^{1,2}

Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Affiliated Drum Tower Hospital, School of Medicine, Nanjing University, and ²Jiangsu Province's Key Medical Centre for Liver Surgery, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China

Correspondence n: Professor Y. T. Ding, 321 Zhong Shan Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China 210008 (e-mail: dingyitao@yahoo.com.cn)

Background: Surgical-site infections (SSIs) increase morbidity and mortality in surgical patients and represent an economic burden to healthcare systems. Experiments have shown that triclosan-coated sutures (TCS) are beneficial in the prevention of SSI, although the results from individual randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are inconclusive. A meta-analysis of available RCTs was performed to evaluate the efficacy of TCS in the prevention of SSL

Methods: A systematic search of PubMed, Embase, MEDLINE, Web of Science®, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and internet-based trial registries for RCTs comparing the effect of TCS and conventional uncoated sutures on SSIs was conducted until June 2012. The primary outcome investigated was the incidence of SSI. Pooled relative risks with 95 per cent confidence interval (c.i.) were estimated with RevMan 5.1.6.

Results: Seventeen RCTs involving 3720 participants were included. No heterogeneity of statistical significance across studies was observed. TCS showed a significant advantage in reducing the rate of SSI by 30 per cent (relative risk 0-70, 95 per cent c.i. 0-57 to 0-85; P < 0-001). Subgroup analyses revealed consistent results in favour of TCS in adult patients, abdominal procedures, and clean or clean-contaminated surgical wounds.

Conclusion: TCS demonstrated a significant beneficial effect in the prevention of SSI after surgery

Wang et al., British J Surg 2013;100;465-473

Daoud, Edmiston, Leaper - Surgical Infections 2014: On Line

What Do the Various Meta-Analyses Tell Us About Triclosan Suture as a Risk Reduction Strategy?

- Wang et al, BJS 2013;100-465: 17 RCT (3720 patients) 30% decrease in risk of SSI (p<0.001)
- Edmiston et al, Surgery 2013;154:89-100: 13 RCT (3568 patients) 27% to 33% decrease in risk of SSI (p<0.005)
- Sajid et al, Gastroenterol Report 2013:42-50: 7 RCT (1631 patients) Odds of SSI 56% less in triclosan suture group compared to controls (p<0.04)
- Daoud et al, Surg Infect 2014;15:165-181: 15 RCT (4800 patients) 20% to 50% decreased risk of SSI (p<0.001)
- Apisarnthanarak et al. Infect Cont Hosp Epidemiol 2015;36:1-11: 29 studies (11,900 patients) – 26% reduction in SSI (p<0.01)
- Guo et al, Surg Research 2016; doi:10.1016/j.jss.2015.10.015 13RCT (5256 patients) (risk ratio [RR] 0.76, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.65e0.88, P < 0.001)

How Does One Evaluate An Antimicrobial Risk -Reduction Technology – The Triclosan Suture Story?

Safety (700-750 million strands)

 No MAUDE (FDA) reports (13 years) documenting significant evidence linking triclosan to adverse impact in surgical wounds; No evidence of pediatric toxicity, *Renko et al. Lancet Infectious Disease 2016;17:50–57;* No evidence of human toxicity following oral or dermal exposure, *Roidricks et al. Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* 2010;40:422. doi: 10.3109/10408441003667514.

Microbicidal Activity (Spectrum)

 Gram-positive and Gram-negative antimicrobial activity - No published studies have demonstrated that use of triclosan coated sutures are associated with the emergence of resistant surgical pathogens.

Evidence-based Clinical Effectiveness (Meta-Analysis)

Currently 10 meta-analysis in the peer-literature document clinical efficacy of triclosan (antimicrobial) suture technology.

Cost-Effectiveness

• Two recent studies, *Singh et al. (Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2014;35:1013); Leaper and Edmiston (British Journal Surgery 2017;104:e134-e144)]* document that use of triclosan-coated sutures provides significant fiscal benefit to hospital, third party-payer and patient.

What Constitutes the Ideal Surgical Care Bundle?

Developing an argument for bundled interventions to reduce surgical site infection in colorectal surgery

Seth A. Waits, MD,^a Danielle Fritze, MD,^a Mousumi Banerjee, PhD,^{a,b} Wenying Zhang, MA,^a James Kubus, MS,^a Michael J. Englesbe, MD,^a Darrell A. Campbell, Jr, MD,^a and Samantha Hendren, MD, MPH,^a Ann Arbor, MI

Background. Surgical site infection (SSI) remains a costly and morbid complication after colectomy. The primary objective of this study was to investigate whether a group of perioperative care measures previously shown to be associated with reduced SSI would have an additive effect in SSI reduction. If so, this would support the use of an "SSI prevention bundle" as a quality improvement intervention.
 Methods. Data from 24 hospitals participating in the Michigan Surgical Quality Collaborative were included in the study. The main outcome measure was SSI. Hierarchical logistic regression was used to account for clustering of patients within hospitals.
 Results. In total, 4,085 operations fulfilled inclusion criteria for the study (Current Procedural Terminology codes 44140, 44160, 44204, and 44205). A "bundle score" was assigned to each chemical bactor and the uniper of breiotectine care measures for the study.

Results. In total, 4,085 operations fulfilled inclusion criteria for the study (Current Procedural Terminology codes 44140, 44160, 44204, and 44205). A "bundle score" was assigned to each operation, based on the number of perioperative care measures followed (appropriate Surgical Care Improvement Project-2 antibiotics, postoperative normothermia, oral antibiotics with bowel preparation, perioperative glycemic control, minimally invasive surgery, and short operative duration). There was a strong stepwise inverse association between bundle score and incidence of SSI. Patients who received all 6 bundle elements had risk-adjusted SSI rates of 2.0% (95% confidence interval [CI], 7.9–0.5%), whereas patients who received only 1 bundle measure had SSI rates of 17.5% (95% CI, 27.1–10.8%). **Conclusion.** This multi-institutional study shows that patients who received all 6 perioperative care measures attained a very low, risk-adjusted SSI rate of 2.0%. These results suggest the promise of an SSI reduction intervention for quality improvement; however, prospective research are required to confirm this finding. (Surgery 2014;155:602-6.)

From the Departments of Surgery^a and Biostatistics,^b University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Waits et al, Surgery 2014;155:602

Original Investigation

JAMA Surg. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.346 Published online August 27, 2014.

The Preventive Surgical Site Infection Bundle in Colorectal Surgery An Effective Approach to Surgical Site Infection Reduction and Health Care Cost Savings

Jeffrey E. Keenan, MD; Paul J. Speicher, MD; Julie K. M. Thacker, MD; Monica Walter, DNP; Maragatha Kuchibhatla, PhD; Christopher R. Mantyh, MD

RESULTS Of 559 patients in the study, 346 (61.9%) and 213 (38.1%) underwent their operation before and after implementation of the bundle, respectively. Groups were matched on their propensity to be treated with the bundle to account for significant differences in the preimplementation and postimplementation characteristics. Comparison of the matched groups revealed that implementation of the bundle was associated with reduced superficial SSIs (19.3% vs 5.7%, P < .001) and postoperative sepsis (8.5% vs 2.4%, P = .009). No significant difference was observed in deep SSIs, organ-space SSIs, wound disruption, length of stay, 30-day readmission, or variable direct costs between the matched groups. However, in a subgroup analysis of the postbundle period, superficial SSI occurrence was associated with a 35.5% increase in variable direct costs (\$13 253 vs \$9779, P = .001) and a 71.7% increase in length of stay (7.9 vs 4.6 days, P < .001).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE The preventive SSI bundle was associated with a substantial reduction in SSIs after colorectal surgery. The increased costs associated with SSIs support that the bundle represents an effective approach to reduce health care costs.

Original Research

Using Bundled Interventions to Reduce Surgical Site Infection After Major Gynecologic Cancer Surgery

Megan P. Johnson, PA-C, Sharon J. Kim, BA, Carrie L. Langstraat, MD, Sneha Jain, MHA, CSSBB, Elizabeth B. Habermann, FAD, Jean E. Wentink, RN, MPH, Pamela L. Grubbs, MS, APRN, Sharon A. Nehring, RN, BSN, Amy L. Weaver, MS, Michaela E. McGree, BS, Robert R. Cima, MD, Scan C. Dovody, MD, and Jamie N. Bakkum-Gamez, MD

OBJECTIVE: To investigate whether implementing a bundle, defined as a set of evidence-based practices performed collectively, can reduce 30-day surgical site infections.

METHODS: Baseline surgical site infection rates were determined retrospectively for cases of open uterine cancer, ovarian cancer without howel resection, and ovarian cancer with bowel resection between January 1, 2010, and December 31, 2012, at an academic center. A perioperative bundle was prospectively implemented during the intervention period (Jagust 1, 2013, to September 30, 2014). Prior established elements were patient education, 4% cloberdoling ducontes shower before surgery antibiotic administration, 2% cliothese indisional area, and cefazolin redosing 3-4 hours after indisional area, and cefazolin redosing 3-4 hours after

From the Department of Obstetrics and Opneology, Division of Grucologic Surgery, the Division of Hathlam Policy and Research, Refection Presenting and Control, the Doptoment of Postming, the Surgery Research Office, the Division of Biomedical Statistics and Informatics, and the Department of General Surgery, Division of Cohoredal Surgery, Mayo Clinic, and Mayo Makical School, Mayo Clinic, Minnesota.

Musica and the Amrican College of Sargeon National Sargied Quility Improvement Program Annual Meeting, July 25–28, 2015, Chicago, Illinois, The authors back Kone Racker and Ory Philat of the Moge Colline Foreur Cycle for their opert technical help with International Casisfration of Densur, Sel Resiston and Concern Provident Terrorision for other internationary and the Dengrint, Parrady, MBA, BCPS, for her assistance with pharmacy measure and the Concern Part of the Concern Part of the Sargeon Contensary and the Concern Part of the Concern Whites Dengrint, Parrady, MBA, BCPS, for her assistance with pharmacy measure and the Concern Part of the Concern and staff glove change for fascia and skin closure, dressing removal at 24-48 hours, dismisal with 4% chlorhexidine gluconate, and follow-up nursing phone call. Surgical site infection rates were examined using control drarts, compared between periods using 2% or Fisher exact test, and validated against the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program decile ranking.

SEULTS: The overall 30-day surgical site infection rate was 38 of 635 (60%) among all cases in the preintervention period, with 11 supericial (12%), two deep (0.3%), and 25 organ or space infections (3.3%). In the intervention period, the overall rate was 2 of 190 (1.1%), with two organ or space infections (1.1%). Overall, the relative risk reduction in surgical site infection was 82.4% (9~0-01). The surgical site infection relative risk reduction was 77.6% among ovarian cancer with bowel resection, and 100% among uterine cancer. The American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program decile ranking improved from the 10th decile to first decile; risk-dujusted dots ratio for surgical site infection decreased from 1.6 (95% confidence interval 1.0-2.6) to 0.6 (0.3-1.1).

CONCLUSION: Implementation of an evidence-based surgical site infection reduction bundle was associated with substantial reductions in surgical site infection in high-risk cancer procedures. (*Obstet Gynecol 2016*;127:1135-44)

Johnson et al. Obstet Gynecol 2016;127:1135-1144

Research

Original Investigation

Association of a Bundled Intervention With Surgical Site Infections Among Patients Undergoing Cardiac, Hip, or Knee Surgery

Marin L. Schweizer, PhD; Hsiu-Yin Chiang, MS, PhD; Edward Septimus, MD; Julia Moody, MS; Barbara Braun, PhD; Joanne Hafner, RN, MS; Melissa A. Ward, MS; Jason Hickok, MBA, RN; Eli N. Perencevich, MD, MS; Daniel J. Diekema, MD; Cheryl L. Richards, MJ, LPN, LMT; Joseph E. Cavanaugh, PhD; Jonathan B. Perlin, MD, PhD; Loreen A. Herwaldt, MD

IMPORTANCE Previous studies suggested that a bundled intervention was associated with lower rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* surgical site infections (SSIs) among patients having cardiac or orthopedic operations.

OBJECTIVE To evaluate whether the implementation of an evidence-based bundle is associated with a lower risk of *S aureus* SSIs in patients undergoing cardiac operations or hip

Schweizer et al JAMA 2015;313:2162-2171

Supplemental content at jama.com

Do surgical care bundles reduce the risk of surgical site infections in patients undergoing colorectal surgery? A systematic review and cohort meta-analysis of 8,515 patients

Judith Tanner, PhD,* Wendy Padley, MSc,^b Ojan Assadian, MD,^c David Leaper, MD,^c Martin Kiernan, MPH,^d and Charles Edmiston, PhD,^e Notingham, Leicester, Huddersfield, and London, UK, and Mitwauke, WI

Background. Can bundles are a strategy that can be used to reduce the risk of surgical site infection (SSI), but individual studies of care bundles report conflicting outcomes. This study assesses the effectiveness of care bundles to reduce SSI among patients undergoing colorectal surgery. Methods. We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, quasi-experimental studies, and cohort studies of care bundles to reduce SSI. The search strategy included database and chinical trials register searches from 2012 until June 2014, searching reference lists of retrieved studies and contacting study authors to obtain missing data. The Downs and Black checklist was used to assess the quality of all studies. Raw data were used to calculate pooled relative risk (RR) estimates using Cochrane Review Manager. The ¹⁷ statistic and finmel plots were performed to identify publication bias. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to examine the influence of individual data sets on pooled RRs.

publication bias. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to examine the influence of individual data sets on pooled RRs. Results. Sixteen studies were included in the analysis, with 13 providing sufficient data for a meta-analysis. Most study bundles included core interventions such as antibiotic administration, appropriate hair removal, glycemic control, and normothermia. The SSI rate in the bundle group was 7.0% (328/ 4,649) compared with 15.1% (S85/3,866) in a standard care group. The pooled effect of 13 studies with a total sample of 8,515 patients shows that surgical care bundles have a clinically important impact on reducing the risk of SSI compared to standard care with a (16,055 (0.39–0.77; P = .0005). Conclusion. The systematic review and meta-analysis documents that use of an evidence-based, surgical care bundle in patients undergoing colorectal surgery significantly reduced the risk of SSI. (Surgery 2015;158:66-77.)

From the School of Health Sciences,^a University of Nottingham, Nottingham; Faculty of Health and Life Sciences,^b De Montfort University, Leicester; Institute of Skin Integrity and Infection Prevention,^c University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, Kinchart Wells Research Centre,^d University of West London, London, UK; and Department of Surgery,^c Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

Surgery 2015;158:66-77

Putting it all Together

Building an Effective Surgical Care Bundle* Baseline Evidence-Based Interventions – Designated 1A

- Normothermia**
- · Perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis weight-based
- Antimicrobial (triclosan) coated sutures
- Preadmission CHG shower/cleansing Standardized regimen
- Perioperative antisepsis 2% CHG/ 70%
- Glycemic control
- Separate wound closure tray

Inclusive Evidence-Based Intervention for Consideration in 2018*

- Supplemental oxygen Colorectal
- Oral antibiotics / Mechanical bowel prep Colorectal
- Wound edge protector Colorectal
- Staphylococcal decolonization Orthopedic / CT
- · Glove change prior to fascial / subcuticular closure All
- Smoking cessation All
- Irrigation with 0.05% CHG All
- OR traffic control Device-related procedures?

*Evidence-Based Medicine is a Moving Target

** Published level of evidence

The Journal of Arthroplasty 32 (2017) 2040-2046

AAHKS Symposium

Prevention of Periprosthetic Joint Infection: Examining the Recent Guidelines

ABSTRACT

Noam Shohat, MD a, b, Javad Parvizi, MD, FRCS b,*

^a Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel ^b Rochman Institute at Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 23 February 2017 Accepted 24 February 2017 Available online 6 March 2017

Kenwords

prevention

guidelines arthroplasty

surgical site infection

periprosthetic joint infection

Bockground: The global rise in infectious disease has led the Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the Wold Health Organization to release new guidelines for the prevention of surgical site infection. Methods: In this article, we summarize current renormendations based on level of evidence, review unresolved and underessed issues, and supplement them with new ilterature.

Results: Although the guidelines discuss major issues in reducing surgical site infection, many questions remain unanswered. Conclusion: These guidelines will kopefully help in setting a standard of care based on best evidence

available and focus investigators on areas where evidence is lacking. 0 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Invited Commentary

General and Gastrointestinal Surgery

Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston.

Corresponding Author: David. L. Berger, MD, Massachusetts Gener

ital, Wang 460 15 Parkman St

page 690

Developing An Orthopedic Care Bundle

Fully Vetted – Evidence-Based

- Weight-based dosing prophylaxis
- Standardized shower (2X) before surgery
- Hair removal not necessary
- Alcohol/CHG perioperative skin prep
- Maintain normothermia
- Antimicrobial sutures
- Nasal decolonization

Research

JAMA Surgery | Original Investigation

Risk Stratification for Surgical Site Infections in Colon Cancer

Ramzi Amri, MD, PhD; Anne M. Dinaux, BSc; Hiroko Kunitake, MD; Liliana G. Bordeianou, MD; David L. Berger, MD

OBJECTIVE. To identify a risk stratification score based on baseline and operative characteristics.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This retrospective cohort study included all patients treated surgically for colon cancer at Massachusetts General Hospital from 2004 through 2014 (n = 1481).

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The incidence of SSI stratified over baseline and perioperative factors was compared and compounded in a risk score.

ISSUETS Among the H491 participants, 90 (6.1%) had SSL Median (10(R) age was 66.9 (55.9-78) years. Surgical site inflection rates were significantly higher among people who smolel (74.8% vs 45.% P = 0.4), oppower has a based active (10.6% vs 5.7%, $e^2 = 0.4$), people with type 2 diabetics (8.8% vs 5.5%, P = 0.4), and obse patients (11.7% vs 4.0%, P = 0.00). Surgical site infection rates were also higher among patients with an operation duration longer than H40 minutes (75% vs 5.0%, P = 0.5) and in nonlaparoscopic approaches (clinical) significant only, 6.7%, vs 4.3%, P = 0.7). These risk factors were also associated with nonlaparoscopic approaches (clinical) significant only, 6.7%, vs 4.3%, P = 0.7). These risk factors were also associated with nonlaparoscopic approaches (clinical) significant only, 6.7%, vs 6.3%, e = 0.40, here is the order of 0.00 (20.10% eV). That an SSI rate of 2.3%, equivalent to a relative risk of 0.4 (45% to 0.05, 5.9%, 0.043) had 0.423 have 1.043 had 0.233 have 1.043 had 0.232 have 1.043 had 0.232 have 1.043 had 0.232 have 1.043 had 0.233 have 0.033 have 0.0333 h

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE This SSI risk assessment factor provides a simple tool using readily available characteristics to stratify patients by SSI risk and identify patients at risk during their postoperative admission. Thereby, it can be used to potentially focus frequent monitoring and more aggressive preventive efforts on high-risk patients.

JAMA Surg. 2017;152(7):686-690. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.0505 Published online April 12, 2017.

Risk Stratification Patient who smoked (7.4% vs 4.8%;

- *p* = 0.04),
 Patients who abused alcohol (10.6% vs)
- Patients with type 2 diabetics (8.8% vs
- 5.5%; p = 0.046)
- Obese patients (11.7% vs 4.0%; *p*<0.001).
- Surgical site infection rates higher Operation duration longer than 140 minutes (7.5% vs 5.0%; p= 0.05)

These risk factors were also associated with an increase in SSI rates as a compounded score (P < 0.001).

- Patients with 1 or fewer risk factors (n = 427) - SSI rate of 2.3%
- Patients with 2 risk factors (n = 445) SSI rate 5.2%
- Patients with 3 factors (n = 384) had a 7.8% SSI rate
- Patients with 4 or more risk factors (n = 198) had a 13.6%

JAMA Surg 2017;152:686-690

international wound journal 🍩

International Wound Journal ISSN 1742-4801

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Surgical site infection: poor compliance with guidelines and care bundles

David J Leaper¹, Judith Tanner², Martin Kiernan³, Ojan Assadian⁴ & Charles E Edmiston Jr⁵

1 School of Applied Sciences, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, UK

2 Clinical Nursing Research, DeMontfort University, Leicester, UK 2 Clinical Nursing Research, DeMontfort University, Leicester, UK 3 Prevention and Control of Infection, Southport and Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust, Southport, UK 4 Department of Hospital Hypeine, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria 5 Department of Surgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI USA

Abstract

Key words Care bundles; Compliance; Guidelines; Surgical site infection

Leaper DJ, Tanner J, Kiernan M, Assadian O, Edmiston CE Jr. Surgical site infection: poor compliance with guidelines and care bundles. Int Wound J 2014; doi: 10.1111/iwj.12243

Correspondence to

DJ Leaper Professor of Clinical Sciences University of Huddersfield Huddersfield West Yorkshire UK E-mail: profdavidleaper@doctors.org.uk

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are probably the most preventable of the health care-associated infections. Despite the widespread international introduction of level I evidence-based guidelines for the prevention of SSIs, such as that of the National endence-cased guidelines for sub prevention to "cost, sacar as take or time reasonal institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) in the UK and the surgical care improvement project (SCIP) of the USA, SSI rates have not measurably fallen. The care bundle approach is an accepted method of packaging best, evidence-based measures into routine care for all patients and, common to many guidelines for the prevention of SSI, includes methods for prooperative removal of hair (where appropriate), rational antibiotic prophylaxis, avoidance of perioperative hypothermia, management rational antibiotic prophylaxis, avoidance of perioperative hypothermia, management of perioperative blood glucose and effective skin preparation. Reasons for poor compliance with care bundles are not clear and have not matched the wide uptake and perceived benefit of the WHO 'Safe Surgery Saves Lives' checklist. Recommendations include the need for further research and continuous updating of guidelines; comprehensive surveillance, using validated definitions that facilitate benchmarking of anonymised surgeon-specific SSI rates; assurance that incorporation of checklists and care bundles has taken place; the development of effective communication strategies for all health care providers and those who commission services and comprehensive information for patients.

Leaper et al. Int Wound J. 2014 Feb 25. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12243

Wisconsin Surgical Champion Program **Peer-to-Peer Collegial Intervention**

Wisconsin Surgical Champion Program

SSI occurrence among WI acute care facilities visited during August-December 2015

Year	Number Procedures	Number Infections	Number Predicted Infections	SIR	P-value	95 % CI
2015	3125	68	42	1.61	0.0003	1.26, 2.03
2016	2834	36	41	0.88	0.45	0.62, 1.21

The number of infections was reduced by 47% and the 2016 SIR was 45% lower than the 2015 SIR (p = 0.002)

SSI occurrence among WI acute care facilities NOT visited during August-December 2015: $n\,^{\sim}$ 90

Year	Number Procedures	Number Infections	Number Predicted Infections	SIR	P-value	95 % CI
2015	40,359	574	601	0.96	0.96	0.88, 1.04
2016	41,753	659	645	1.02	0.59	0.94, 1.10

No reduction in number of infections, and no difference in the 2016 SIR compared to 2015 (p = 0.19)

https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/hai/ssi -prevention.htm

Wisconsin DPH Resources

Bratzler D. Dellinger E. Olsen K. et al. Clinical practice guidel es for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. Am J Health-Syst Pharm 2013;70:195-20 Catanzano A, Phillips M, Dubrovskaya Y, et al. The standard one gram dose of vancomycin is not adec 2014;34:111-7. abulaxis for MRSA. In Edmiston CE, Krepel C, Kelly H, et al. Peri-ope 2004;136:738-747 oblai prophylaxis in the eastric by 2005126/208-767. 4 Advances IV. Move TH, Lydo C, et al. Weight 4 shared news and desing of newspace in the concern year by the 4 Advances IV. Move TH, Lydo C, et al. Weight 4 shared news and desing of newspace in the concern year by the 9 Powers I, Swaret A, Hoppe C, et al. (These of maternal calculary on tissue concentration of prophysics) conformation 2015112787788. 9 Swaret AM, Weigh CA, Nockas D, et al. Intersect3-gene conclusion facility for cameran of them y prophysics is 20155 Sep 213-155-256. Antimicrobial Wound Cleance CHG Shower CHG Wound Irrigat Colon Surgery Bundle Guideline Evaluation HAI Prevalence Data Infection Control Practices for Ami ory Surgery Center MRSA Surveillance/Decolonization Postonerative Wound Care Selective Interventional Strategies beyond SCIP

"The practice of evidence-based medicine means integrating individual clinical expertise with the best external evidence from systematic reviews."

Sackett et al. Evidence-based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. BMJ 1996;312:71-72

